Case 1:23-cv-00212-VSB-JLC Document 19 Filed 04/96

DOCUMENT ELECTRONICALLY FILED DOC #: DATE FILED: 4/6/2023

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

FRED ALSTON AS A TRUSTEE OF THE LOCAL 272 LABOR MANAGEMENT

PENSION FUND, et ano.,

-V.-

SCHEDULING ORDER FOR DAMAGES INQUEST

Plaintiffs,

23-CV-212 (VSB) (JLC)

THE CAR PARK OF NEW YORK, LLC,

Defendant.

JAMES L. COTT, United States Magistrate Judge.

On April 5, 2023, Judge Broderick granted a default judgment against defendant (Dkt. No. 18) and he referred this case to me to conduct an inquest into damages (Dkt. No. 17).

"[E]ven when the defendant defaults and is not present to object, damages must be based on admissible evidence." Am. Jewish Comm. v. Berman, No. 15-CV-5983 (LAK) (JLC), 2016 WL 3365313, at *4 (S.D.N.Y. June 15, 2016) (quoting House v. Kent Worldwide Mach. Works, Inc., 359 F. App'x 206, 207 (2d Cir. 2010)) (citations omitted), adopted by 2016 WL 4532201 (S.D.N.Y. Aug. 29, 2016). In the context of a default, allegations in a pleading pertaining to damages are not deemed admitted. Nat'l Photo Grp., LLC v. Bigstar Entm't, Inc., No. 13-CV-5467 (VSB) (JLC), 2014 WL 1396543, at *2 (S.D.N.Y. Apr. 11, 2014) (citing Finkel v. Romanowicz, 577 F.3d 79, 84 (2d Cir. 2009)), adopted by 2014 WL 5051275 (S.D.N.Y. Oct. 8, 2014). Rather, "a plaintiff must submit sufficient evidence, in the

form of detailed affidavits and other documentary materials to enable the district court to 'establish damages with reasonable certainty." *Id.* (quoting *Transatlantic Marine Claims Agency, Inc. v. Ace Shipping Corp.*, 109 F.3d 105, 111 (2d Cir. 1997)) (internal citations omitted); *see also* Fed. R. Civ. P. 55(b)(2).

Accordingly, it is hereby **ORDERED** that:

- 1. Plaintiffs shall serve defendant and file Proposed Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law concerning all damages and any other monetary relief permitted under the entry of default judgment no later than April 27, 2023. Plaintiffs shall include with such service a copy of this Order and shall file a certificate of service on the docket of its inquest submissions. The submission shall contain a concluding paragraph that succinctly summarizes what damage amount (or other monetary relief) is being sought, including the exact dollar amount.
- 2. Plaintiffs' Proposed Findings of Fact should specifically tie the proposed damages figures to the legal claims on which liability has been established; should demonstrate how plaintiffs have arrived at the proposed damages figures; and should be supported by one or more affidavits, which may attach any documentary evidence establishing the proposed damages. Each Proposed Finding of Fact shall be followed by a citation to the paragraphs of the affidavits and or page of documentary evidence that supports each such Proposed Finding.

Any request for attorneys' fees must be supported by the legal basis for such fees, and contemporaneous time records showing, for each attorney, the date of service, the hours expended, and the nature of the work performed. Counsel should

file an affidavit providing the number of years each attorney has been admitted to the bar and their hourly rate. Counsel should also include charts related to any fees that they are seeking, in terms of hours and number of attorneys, if appropriate. The Conclusions of Law shall include a paragraph or paragraphs setting forth the legal basis for any requested award of attorney's fees, including why, if applicable, the number of attorneys involved are entitled to fees. Finally, counsel should provide documentary evidence justifying an award of costs.

In lieu of conclusions of law, plaintiffs may submit a memorandum of law setting forth the legal principles applicable to plaintiffs' claim or claims for damages (including but not limited to the legal basis for any claim for interest or attorneys' fees). Plaintiffs' papers must include an affidavit from someone with personal knowledge, setting forth the facts which establish the proposed damages amount. Defendant shall send to plaintiffs' counsel and file with the Court its response, if any, to plaintiffs' submissions no later than **May 18, 2023.**

3. The Court hereby notifies the parties that it may conduct this inquest based solely upon the written submissions of the parties. See Bricklayers & Allied Craftworkers Local 2, Albany, N.Y. Pension Fund v. Moulton Masonry & Const., LLC, 779 F.3d 182, 189 (2d Cir. 2015) (quoting Action S.A. v. Marc Rich & Co., 951 F.2d 504, 508 (2d Cir. 1991)); Cement & Concrete Workers Dist. Council Welfare Fund, Pension Fund, Annuity Fund, Educ. & Training Fund & Other Funds v. Metro Found. Contractors Inc., 699 F.3d 230, 234 (2d Cir. 2012) (citation omitted). To the extent that any party seeks an evidentiary hearing on the issue of damages,

such party must set forth in its submission the reason why the inquest should not be conducted based upon the written submissions alone, including a description of what witnesses would be called to testify at a hearing and the nature of the evidence that would be submitted.

4. A courtesy copy of any document filed with the Court shall be sent or delivered to the undersigned.

Dated: April 6, 2023

New York, New York

VÁME/S L. COTT

United States Magistrate Judge